**TRANSPORTATION POOLED FUND PROGRAM**

**QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT**

**Lead Agency: Utah Department of Transportation**

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

*Project Managers and/or research project investigators should complete a quarterly progress report for each calendar quarter during which the projects are active. Please provide a project schedule status of the research activities tied to each task that is defined in the proposal; a percentage completion of each task; a concise discussion (2 or 3 sentences) of the current status, including accomplishments and problems encountered, if any. List all tasks, even if no work was done during this period.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Transportation Pooled Fund Program Project #****TPF-5(381)** | **Transportation Pooled Fund Program - Report Period:** \_ Quarter 1 (January 1 – March 31, 2018) \_ Quarter 2 (April 1 – June 30, 2018)\_ Quarter 3 (July 1 – September 30, 2018)**x Quarter 4 (October 1 – December 31, 2018)** |
| **Project Title:**Evaluation of Lateral Pile Resistance Near MSE Walls at a Dedicated Wall Site – Phase 2 |
| **Name of Project Manager(s):**David Stevens | **Phone Number:** 801-589-8340 | **E-Mail** davidstevens@utah.gov |
| **Lead Agency Project ID:**FINET 42085, ePM PIN 16761UDOT PIC No. UT17.404 | **Other Project ID (i.e., contract #):** UDOT Contract No. 19-8182  | **Project Start Date:** August 20, 2018 |
| **Original Project End Date:**September 30, 2020 | **Current Project End Date:** September 30, 2020 | **Number of Extensions:** |

Project schedule status:

 **X** On schedule \_ On revised schedule \_ Ahead of schedule \_ Behind schedule

Overall Project Statistics:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  **Total Project Budget** |  **Total Cost to Date for Project** |  **Percentage of Work**  **Completed to Date** |
| $140,000.00 (current contract)$240,000.00 (total commitments) | $60,000.00 | 40% |

***Quarterly*** Project Statistics:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  **Total Project Expenses**  **and Percentage This Quarter** |  **Total Amount of Funds**  **Expended This Quarter** |  **Total Percentage of**  **Time Used to Date** |
| 43% | $60,000.00 | 18% |

|  |
| --- |
| **Project Description**:Bridge abutment piles are frequently surrounded by mechanically stabilized earth (MSE) walls rather than a soil slope. Piles near MSE walls must be designed for lateral loads from earthquakes and thermal expansion/contraction. In the TPF-5(272) Phase 1 study involving several state DOTs, a series of 31 tests on free-head piles provided p-multipliers as a function of pile spacing which can be used to account for reduced lateral soil resistance due to the presence of an MSE wall. Equations were also developed to compute the induced force developed in the reinforcements by the lateral pile loading. However, a number of questions came up when the results of the Phase 1 study were presented to engineers and those responsible for code changes. These issues involve (a) the effect of cyclic loading when previous testing was monotonic, (b) the effect of pile head fixity because previous tests were on free-head piles while most abutment piles are “fixed-head”, (c) the effect of pile group loading when previous tests were for single piles, and (d) the effect of pile diameter on the p-multiplier and induced force equations because previous tests were all for piles about 12 inches in diameter.Objective: To provide closure relative to the outstanding issues described above, a series of additional tests will be conducted as a Phase 2 follow-up to the original test series.The Phase 1 study included construction of a dedicated MSE wall site in Utah with instrumented piles behind the 20-ft high wall.Tasks for this Phase 2 study include:1. Excavate the top 6 ft of the soil backfill behind the existing MSE wall.2. Instrument MSE reinforcements and piles with strain gauges.3. Re-compact the top 6 ft of the soil backfill behind the existing MSE wall.4. Conduct cyclic lateral pile load testing.5. Conduct fixed-head lateral pile load testing.6. Conduct lateral pile load testing of larger-diameter piles (24-inch diameter), to be newly placed between cut-off existing piles.7. Conduct lateral pile load testing of a pile group.The following tasks will be added to the research contract once all of the funding commitments are transferred:8. Develop p-multipliers for Phase 2 lateral pile load testing results, compare these with the Phase 1 results, and update the overall p-multiplier equation as necessary.9. Develop tensile force equations for Phase 2 lateral pile load testing results, compare these with the Phase 1 results, and update the overall tensile force equations as necessary.10. Submit a final report that documents the Phase 2 research effort.11. Report results to TAC committee members in video conferences.12. Make presentations at AASHTO bridge engineers’ committee meetings and TRB events to aid in national efforts to implement the study results.Dr. Kyle Rollins of BYU is the Principal Investigator for this research project. The technical advisory committee (TAC) for the study currently includes representatives from UT, CA, FL, KS, MN, NY, and WI state DOTs. |

|  |
| --- |
| **Progress this Quarter (includes meetings, work plan status, contract status, significant progress, etc.):****Task 1** – Completed.**Task 2** – Completed.**Task 3** – Completed.**Task 4** – Started memo reporting on this completed testing.**Task 5** – Started memo reporting on this completed testing.**Task 6** – Started memo reporting on this completed testing.**Task 7** – Completed the lateral pile load testing of a pile group. Started memo reporting on this completed testing.**Task 8** – Not started.**Task 9** – Not started.**Task 10** – Not started.**Task 11** – Not started.**Task 12** – Not started.**Contract** – No changes. |
| **Anticipated work next quarter**:**Task 1** – Completed.**Task 2** – Completed.**Task 3** – Completed.**Task 4** – Submit the task completion memo with test layout, procedure, basic results, and load-deflection curves.**Task 5** – Submit the task completion memo with test layout, procedure, basic results, and load-deflection curves.**Task 6** – Submit the task completion memo with test layout, procedure, basic results, and load-deflection curves.**Task 7** – Submit the task completion memo with test layout, procedure, basic results, and load-deflection curves.**Task 8** – Develop p-multipliers for Phase 2 lateral pile load testing results, and submit the task completion memo.**Task 9** – Start developing reinforcement tensile force equations.**Task 10** – None planned.**Task 11** – Consider holding a TAC web conference to provide updates and discuss progress.**Task 12** – None planned.**Contract** – UDOT will add some funding transfers received from the TPF study partner states to the research contract and authorize work on Tasks 8-12. |

|  |
| --- |
| **Significant Results:**Monotonic lateral load tests were conducted on four 24-inch diameter free-head test piles. Tests were performed on piles located at nominal distances of 2, 3, 4, and 5 pile diameters/widths (D) from the center of the pile to the back of the MSE wall. The goal of the 24-inch diameter lateral pile testing was to determine if the larger pile head diameter would change the basic behavior observed in the Phase 1 testing which only involved tests on 12-inch diameter piles. For the 12-inch diameter free-head test piles, lateral pile resistance was relatively unaffected by the presence of the wall for piles located more than about 4D behind the wall, but decreased significantly as piles were driven closer to the wall. A hydraulic jack was used to produce a lateral load against each test pile cap through a gap in the pre-cast concrete blocks. The concrete blocks simulate the 600 psf pressure that would be associated with fill material from the approach fill behind the bridge abutment over the top of the reinforcing strips. Fig. 1 shows the shear zones fanning outward from the edges of the test pile and extending towards the back of the wall face marked with orange spray paint. Load was progressively increased to reach target deflection increments of approximately 0.25 inch until the deflection of the wall panel became excessive (approaching 1 inch). After each target deflection was reached, the fluid volume in the jack was held constant for three minutes while the applied load and pile deflection came to equilibrium. Lateral pile head load versus deflection curves for each of the four 24-inch diameter free-head pile load tests are shown in Fig. 2. There is very little reduction in lateral resistance between the pile at 5D and the pile at 4D as would be expected based on previous lateral pile load testing with the free-head piles. However, there is a significant reduction in lateral pile resistance for piles placed closer than 4D behind the wall as predicted by the previous free-head pile load tests. The reduction in resistance becomes greater as the pile is placed closer to the wall as expected. Therefore, the 24-inch diameter free-head test results are in qualitative agreement with previously conducted 12-inch diameter free-head test results. Additional LPILE analyses will be required to determine if the p-multipliers necessary to account for the reduced pile resistance due to proximity to the wall will be the same as those determined previously for the 12-inch diameter free-head pile head condition. This evaluation will take place over the next quarter or more.C:\Users\Rollins\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\INetCache\Content.Word\IMG_3762.jpg**Fig. 1 Photo of a 24-inch diameter free-head pile being loaded laterally with a hydraulic jack. Shear zones extending out from the edges of the pile to the face of the wall are identified with orange spray paint.****Fig. 2 Measured lateral pile head load versus deflection curves for 24-inch diameter free-head test piles located at 2, 3, 4, and 5 pile diameters (D) behind the wall. (Results are preliminary based on measurements during field testing.)**  |
| **Circumstance affecting project or budget. (Please describe any challenges encountered or anticipated that** **might affect the completion of the project within the time, scope and fiscal constraints set forth in the****agreement, along with recommended solutions to those problems).**None. |

|  |
| --- |
| **Potential Implementation:**  |