QUARTER 3 2006

ANIMAL VEHICLE CRASH MITIGATION USING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY

PHASE II

SPR 3(076) & Misc. Contract & Agreement No. 17,363

for

Oregon Department of Transportation Research Unit 200 Hawthorne SE, Suite B-240 Salem OR 97310

and

Federal Highway Administration 400 Seventh Street SW Washington, DC 20590

and

Departments of Transportation of California, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Maryland, Montana, Nevada, New Hampshire, New York, North Dakota, Pennsylvania, Wisconsin, and Wyoming

CONTENTS

JULY PAGE 2
AUGUST PAGE 3
SEPTEMBER PAGE 5

Monthly report Animal-vehicle pooled fund study

July 2006

General

- 1. The final report for phase 1 is at ODOT for publication and distribution.
- 2. WTI coordinated with ODOT for final publication of the report.
- 3. June Ross replaced Felix Martinez as the representative of ODOT.

Task 1: Site survey 100%

- 1. The results of the site survey and a summary were made available to the TAC.
- 2. WTI prepared subcontract with Eagle Rock Timber and STS for system modifications.

Task 2: Modifications to system 10%

1. The results of the site survey are under evaluation by the TAC. The modifications will only take place if the TAC decides to proceed based on the results of the site survey (incl budget).

Task 3: Confirmation of system modifications 0%

Task 4: System reliability 0%

Task 5: System effectiveness 0%

Task 6: System acceptance 0%

Task 7: Information to project partners 22% (month 7 out of 32)

Task 8: System removal 0%

Marcel Huijser

Monthly report Animal-vehicle pooled fund study

August 2006

General

- 4. The final report for phase 1 was published.
- 5. WTI-MSU (Marcel Huijser) presented part of the report at the NRITS conference in Big Sky MT on 15 Aug. In addition, Lloyds Salsman (STS) and Marcel Huijser (WTI) led a field trip to the site for NRITS attendees.
- WTI developed a press release about the publication of the report in coordination with ODOT.

Task 1: Site survey 100%

- 3. The results of the site survey and a summary were made available to the TAC.
- 4. WTI prepared subcontract with Eagle Rock Timber and STS for system modifications.

Task 2: Modifications to system 10%

- 2. The results of the site survey are under evaluation by the TAC. The modifications will only take place if the TAC decides to proceed based on the results of the site survey (incl budget).
- 3. TAC asked questions about system modifications, WTI answered these questions.
- 4. Further coordination took place with ODOT, MDT and YNP.
- 5. YNP approved the system modifications in a letter.
- 6. ODOT approved the system modifications in an e-mail.
- 7. MDT agreed to conducting limited vegetation management.
- 8. Work scope and subcontracts with Eagle Rock Timber and STS were further developed.
- The manufacturer of the brackets was identified by KSDOT.
 The bracket appears to be a Sky Bracket Standard Clamp Kit (model SB29-SCK) manufactured by Olson Aluminum Castings out of Rockford, Illinois, website http://www.olsonalum.com/index.htm.
- 10. WTI ordered the brackets from Olson.

Task 3: Confirmation of system modifications 0%

Task 4: System reliability 0%

Task 5: System effectiveness 0%

Task 6: System acceptance 0%

Task 7: Information to project partners 25% (month 8 out of 32)

Task 8: System removal 0%

Marcel Huijser

Monthly report Animal-vehicle pooled fund study

September 2006

General

- The report for phase I is available from ODOT's website: http://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/TD/TP_RES/ResearchReports.shtml
- 8. WTI-MSU released a press release about the publication of the final report for phase I on 11 Sep 2006

Task 1: Site survey 100%

- 5. The results of the site survey and a summary were made available to the TAC.
- 6. WTI prepared subcontract with Eagle Rock Timber and STS for system modifications.

Task 2: Modifications to system 10%

- 11. Work scope and subcontracts with Eagle Rock Timber and STS were further developed.
- 12. MSU issued the notice to proceed for Eagle Rock Timber on 21 Sep 2006.
- 13. The brackets arrived at WTI on 6 Sep 2006.

Task 3: Confirmation of system modifications 0%

Task 4: System reliability 0%

Task 5: System effectiveness 0%

Task 6: System acceptance 0%

Task 7: Information to project partners 28% (month 9 out of 32)

Task 8: System removal 0%

Marcel Huijser