# TRANSPORTATION POOLED FUND PROGRAM **QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT**

Lead Agency (FHWA or State DOT): Wisconsin DOT

## **INSTRUCTIONS:**

Project Managers and/or research project investigators should complete a quarterly progress report for each calendar quarter during which the projects are active. Please provide a project schedule status of the research activities tied to each task that is defined in the proposal; a percentage completion of each task; a concise discussion (2 or 3 sentences) of the current status, including accomplishments and problems encountered, if any. List all tasks, even if no work was done during this period.

Transportation Pooled Fund Program Project # TPF-5(432)		Transportation Pooled Fund Program - Report Period:						
(1.02)		☐ Quarter 1 (January 1 – March 31)						
		☐ Quarter 2 (April 1 – June 30)						
		☐ Quarter 3 (July 1 – September 30)						
		X Quarter 4 (October 1 – December 31)						
Project Title: Bridge Element Deterioration for Midwest S	States							
Name of Project Manager(s): William Oliva, P.E., Wisconsin DOT (Lead Agency)	Phone Number: 608-266-0075		E-Mail William.Oliva@dot.wi.gov					
Jonathan Groeger (Wood, performing organization)	301-523-2975		Jonathan.Groeger@woodplc.com					
Lead Agency Project ID: 0092-19-40	Other Project ID (i.e., contract #): N/A		Project Start Date: December 3, 2019					
Original Project End Date: December 2, 2021	Current Proj November 3,	ect End Date: 2022	Number of Extensions:					
Project schedule status:								
☐ On schedule X On revised sche	dule	☐ Ahead of sched	ule					
Overall Project Statistics:								
Total Project Budget	Total Cost to Date for Project		Percentage of Work Completed to Date					
\$498,017	\$373,097.56		75%					
Quarterly Project Statistics:								

Total Project Expenses	Total Amount of Funds	Total Percentage of				
and Percentage This Quarter	Expended This Quarter	Time Used to Date				
\$50,370.41 / 10%	\$50,370.41	71%				

## **Project Description:**

### Scope

The objective of this pooled fund research is to have multiple Midwest DOTs pool resources and historic Midwest DOT bridge data related to element-level deterioration, operation practices, maintenance activities, and historic design/construction details. This data will provide the basis for research to determine bridge deterioration curves. A select number of deterioration curves will provide needed utility for the time-dependent deterioration of bridge elements to be used in making estimates of future conditions and work actions. This effort will pool data and through the analysis and research processes create results that will improve the accuracy of various bridge management and asset management applications that the member DOTs use (AASHTO BrM, Agile Assets, and others).

This study is sequenced into three tiers based on the priorities of the DOTs:

Tier 1 National Bridge Elements (NBE) & National Bridge Inventory (NBI) Components:

- Develop element-level deterioration curves for Reinforced Concrete Deck.
- Develop element-level deterioration curves for Reinforced Concrete Slab.
- Develop deterioration curves for NBI component items (i.e. bridge deck, superstructure, and substructure).
- Develop element-level deterioration curves for Reinforced Concrete Deck after a major preservation activity such as mill and overlay with the rigid concrete wearing course.
- Develop predicted improvement in the condition of Reinforced Concrete Deck element after a major preservation activity such as mill and overlay.
- In addition to probabilistic deterioration curves, also develop select deterministic deterioration curves.

Tier 2 Bridge Management Elements (BME) & Remaining NBE Elements

- Develop element-level deterioration curves for each type of wearing surface (bare concrete, sealed concrete, thin polymer overlay, Polymer Concrete (PPC) overlay, ridged concrete overlay, Polymer Modified Asphalt overlay, and asphalt overlay with membrane).
- Develop element-level deterioration curves for Strip Seal Deck Joints and Modular Deck Joints.
- Determine defect-level deterioration curves that describe defect development and progression (e.g., cracking and delamination).
- Develop deterioration curves for Paint system (protective steel) effectiveness.
- Develop defect-level deterioration curves for Steel Girder corrosion, and correlate to Paint system effectiveness; specifically, how long from new paint to 75% and 50% effective and end of life.
- Develop element-level deterioration curves for substructure elements in harsh environments (e.g., pier caps under expansion joints, pier columns in spray zone from snow plows, etc.).

Tier 3 Similar Agency Defined Elements (ADE) & Inspection Related

- Identify Agency Defined Elements (ADE) that would be of use for other Midwest DOTs to consider adopting.
- Determine what type of inspection information related to Nondestructive Evaluation (NDE) Midwest DOTs have and how it is used that translates into information on element-level defects (Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR), Infrared Thermograph, or other).
- Provide a summary of policy, guidance, and practices that Midwest DOTs employ to relate NDE results to defect
  reporting (to describe delamination and deterioration) and how DOTs use NDE to make quantifiable inspection and
  actionable work actions for concrete bridge decks.

## **Expected Findings and Benefits**

The project will deliver the following items:

- Literature review which will detail the current state of the practice for bridge deterioration modeling and will include the literature review, a survey, and targeted interviews.
- Data screening procedure. This will allow participating States to help understand the validity of their data and its pros and opportunities for improvement.
- A populated and documented open source database and analysis engine which the States can use to explore and model their data or data from other States in an easy to use interface.
- Tier 1 models.
- Tier 2 models.
- Tier 3 information.

Overall the main thrust of this project is to produce deterioration models to fuel the analysis of bridge performance for selected items.

The activities, tools, practices, policies, or methods in partner States that would be impacted by the research findings include:

- Bridge management practices and policies.
- Deterioration modeling of bridge components.
- Deterioration modeling processes which can be applied to other element level bridge components.
- Development of defensible system performance targets.
- Development of bridge work plans.
- Performance of risk analysis to determine which bridges are more at risk from a condition standpoint.
- This project will provide participating States strengths and opportunities for improvement in their data collection policies, procedures, and methods.

The primary benefit of this project to the participating States is the ability to plug the resultant models into their asset management systems and immediately begin to use the data to make better, data-driven decisions. A secondary benefit of this project is the provision of the online database and analysis engine that will be designed for the participating States to run their own analysis at the NBI level or NBE level using their State's data, a portion of the participating States' data, national data, or some other permutation. This will empower the participating States to explore the data and come up with deterioration models as new data are available or new analysis concepts are uncovered.

## Progress this Quarter (includes meetings, work plan status, contract status, significant progress, etc.):

## Task 1 - Project Management

A progress report was issued, and the project was managed. We held monthly status calls with the participating States and calls with the Wisconsin DOT Project Manager.

This task is 70% complete. No problems have been encountered to-date.

#### Task 2 - Literature Review

This task is 100% complete.

#### Task 3 - Data Collection

This task is 100% complete.

## Task 4 - Develop Data Screening Procedure

This task is 100% complete.

## Task 5 - Develop Data Management Policy

In a previous quarter, the project team developed a draft data management framework which was presented and discussed with the States. Subsequently, the States discussed various approaches to the data management strategy and relayed these to the project team. The project team developed a memorandum for discussion with the States. The States decided to place a hold on this task until more was known about hosting the dataset. This task remains 90% complete.

#### Task 6 - Develop Tier 1 Deterioration Curves

In a previous quarter, the project team developed and validated the Tier 1 deterioration curves. This included the following curves:

- Element-level deterioration curves for Reinforced Concrete Deck.
- Element-level deterioration curves for Reinforced Concrete Slab.
- Deterioration curves for NBI component items (i.e., bridge deck, superstructure, and substructure).
- Element-level deterioration curves for Reinforced Concrete Deck after a major preservation activity such as mill and overlay with the rigid concrete wearing course.
- Predicted improvement in the condition of Reinforced Concrete Deck element after a major preservation activity such as mill and overlay.

After presenting the curves to the project Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) in a meeting, it was decided that the member States needed additional time to review the underlying data and curves. This review continued during the

reporting quarter and frequent meetings were held with the participating States as a group. The project team also conducted specific and focused one-on-one meetings with the States to determine how to extract the wearing surface out of the datasets.

As a result of this review and discussions, the project team developed a revised analysis dataset that incorporates the new and amended data from the participating States. This required a substantial amount of effort that will yield improved outputs for the project.

This task is 70% complete as per the original scope.

## Task 7 - Develop Tier 2 Deterioration Curves

It was decided to perform the first of the task 7 models along with the task 6 model development to create efficiencies. Thus, the element-level deterioration curves for each type of wearing surface were developed. The TAC was briefed on these models as well. These models will be re-run with the new dataset as per the discussion under task 6. This task is 15% complete.

## Task 8 - Develop Tier 3 Inputs

No work was conducted on this task during the reporting period. This task is 0% complete.

## Task 9 - Final Project Deliverables

No work was conducted on this task during the reporting period. This task is 0% complete.

## **Anticipated Work Next Quarter:**

## Task 1 - Project Management

We will issue a progress report and invoice. We will continue the monthly status calls with the participating States on the third Friday of every month. Keeping all States informed of progress and discussing key technical issues is a critical step in the success of this project.

#### Task 2 - Literature Review

The literature review task has been completed.

#### Task 3 - Data Collection

The data collection process has been completed.

## Task 4 – Develop Data Screening Procedure

The data screening task has been completed.

#### Task 5 - Develop Data Management Policy

We will finalize the policy after receiving feedback from the States.

#### Task 6 - Develop Tier 1 Deterioration Curves

The project team will revise the models based on feedback from the States and provide a final report on the Tier 1 development process. Workshops will be held to discuss the technical aspects of the models as needed. The project team should complete work on the Tier 1 deterioration curves during the next quarter.

#### Task 7 - Develop Tier 2 Deterioration Curves

The project team will conclude work on the first set of models developed along with Task 6 (the wearing surface models). We will also commence work on the remaining models and present the results to the TAC. Workshops will be held to discuss the technical aspects of the models. The project team will also begin work on a report to document the Tier 2 model development process.

## Task 8 - Develop Tier 3 Inputs

No work is anticipated on this task during the reporting quarter.

## Task 9 - Final Project Deliverables

No work is anticipated on this task during the reporting quarter.

## Significant Results:

A significant result is that the project team has a fully populated revised data analysis database, a critical aspect of this project.

Circumstance affecting project or budget. (Please describe any challenges encountered or anticipated that might affect the completion of the project within the time, scope and fiscal constraints set forth in the agreement, along with recommended solutions to those problems):

Due to the review of datasets and development of the analysis dataset, which took longer than planned due to the complexities of merging 12 States into one coherent analysis dataset, it was necessary to execute a revised schedule for this project along with additional funding. This supplement was reviewed and approved by the TAC during this reporting period. The new completion date for the project is November 3, 2022.

## Potential Implementation:

The Tier 1 and 2 models that will be finalized within the next six months are believed to be very robust. There is a large dataset used to develop the models and results are intuitive. These models can be implemented by member and other States to assist with bridge management functions in the DOT. In addition, the analysis matrix is being developed such that the TAC members can update and run their State's data to update the models. This flexibility is a key request from the participating States.

ID 1	Duration	Task Name	Duration	Start	Finish	Half 2, 2019	Half 1, 2020	Half 2, 2020	Half 1, 2021	Half 2, 2021	Half 1, 2022	Half 2, 2022
2	0 days	Task 0 - Notice to Proceed	0 days	Tue 12/3/19	9 Tue 12/3/19	•				 	    	
4	760 da	Task 1 - Project Management	760 daye	Tuo 12/3/10	9 Mon 10/31/	_	1	1	1	1		73%
5		1.1 Project Launch Meeting (Face-to-Face Meeting)			9 Thu 12/12/19	Ĭ	100%	1	1	I I	[ ] [ ]	
6		1.2 Develop Detailed Work Plan			9 Thu 1/9/20	_	100%	i	i	i	ii	i i
7	10 days			Thu 12/12/19			100%	1	l I	l I	11	
8	0 days	•			9 Wed 12/25/		100%	İ	1	I		
9	10 days				9 Wed 1/8/20		100%	I .	I.	l	H	!!!
10	4 days		4 days		Tue 1/14/20		100%	İ	1	l I		
11	1 day		1 day		Wed 1/15/20		100%	1	!	!	H	!
12	760 days	1.3 Develop Quarterly Progress Reports (and invoices)	760 days	Tue 12/3/19	9 Mon 10/31/							72%
13		1.4 Coordination - Monthly Status Calls	760 days	Tue 12/3/19	9 Mon 10/31/							72%
14	45 days	Task 2 - Literature Review	45 days	Thu 1/16/20	Wed 3/18/20		100%	1	! 	l I		
21	85 days	Task 3 - Data Collection	85 days	Thu 3/19/20	Wed 7/15/20			100%	Į.	I	H	
32		Task 4 - Develop Data Screening Procedure			Tue 8/25/20			100%	l I	l I		
38		Task 5 - Develop Data Management Policy			Mon 5/2/22		į.	<b>-</b>			65%	•
45		Task 6 - Develop Tier 1 Deterioration Curves	254 days		1 Tue 3/22/22		I	I I		L.,	76%	
46		6.1 Develop Element Level Deterioration Curves for Reinforced Concrete Deck (RFD)			1 Wed 5/19/21		İ	İ	10	1	H	i
47	35 days	•	35 days		1 Wed 5/19/21		1	1	10		[ ] [ ]	
48	35 days		35 days		1 Wed 5/19/21		i	İ	10		ii	i i
49 50	35 days		35 days		1 Wed 5/19/21		1	I I	10	0% h%	H H	
51	35 days 254 days		35 days 254 days		1 Wed 5/19/21 1 Tue 3/22/22		i	i	10	U 76	52%	i i
52	133 days				1 Fri 11/19/21		I I	1		10		
53	133 days				1 Fri 11/19/21		i	i	i		b%	i i
54	20 days	•			1 Fri 12/17/21		I	1	 		95%	
55	12 days				1 Tue 1/4/22		i	i	İ	i	0%	i i
56	12 days	•	12 days		2 Thu 1/20/22		1	1	1		<mark>*</mark> 0%	
57	9 days		9 days		2 Wed 2/2/22		i	i	İ	I	0%	i i
58	22 days	TAC Review of Tier 1 Models	22 days	Thu 2/3/22	2 Fri 3/4/22		1	1	1		0%	
59	12 days	Produce Final Tier 1 Models and Report	12 days	Mon 3/7/22	2 Tue 3/22/22		i	İ	l	l	0%	i
60	0 days	Deliverable: Final Tier 1 Models and Report	0 days	Tue 3/22/22	2 Tue 3/22/22		I	1	1	l I	<b>*</b>	
61	307 da	Task 7 - Develop Tier 2 Deterioration Curves	307 days	Thu 4/1/21	1 Fri 6/3/22		İ	İ				16%
62	35 days		35 days		1 Wed 5/19/21		I	I .	10	0%	11	
63	9 days		9 days		2 Wed 2/2/22		İ	l	l	l	0%	
64	20 days		20 days		2 Mon 4/18/22			1	l I	l I	0%	
65 66	20 days	, , ,	20 days		2 Mon 4/18/22		i	i	i I	I	0%	i
67	20 days 20 days	,	20 days		2 Mon 4/18/22 2 Mon 4/18/22		1	1	 	I I	0%	
68	20 days		20 days		2 Mon 4/18/22		i	i	İ	i	0%	i i
	•	Environments	•					1	 	 	<u> </u>	
69	35 days			Mon 4/18/22				1	! 	I I	0	
70	20 days	,	-		2 Fri 5/13/22		1	1	!	I .	0%	
71	1 day				2 Mon 5/16/22		1	1	 		0%	
72 73	10 days		10 days		2 Mon 5/30/22		1	I.	I.	I	0	
74	4 days 0 days	·	4 days 0 days	Fri 6/3/22				i i	! 	I I		
75		Task 8 - Develop Tier 3 Inputs	55 days		2 Fri 8/19/22		1	Į.	I.	I.	!!	0%
76	30 days	, ,	30 days		2 Fri 7/15/22		1	I I	t I	ı I		<b>-</b>
77	30 days		30 days		2 Fri 7/15/22		1	I.	I	I.	<u> </u>	
78	30 days		30 days				I I	I I	t I	ı I	11	
79	26 days	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	26 days		2 Fri 8/19/22		1	Į.	I.	I		
80	10 days				2 Fri 7/29/22		1	I I	t I	ı I	H.	<u> </u>
81	1 day	Web-based Meeting to Discuss Findings	1 day		2 Mon 8/1/22		1	Į.	Į.	I	П	<u>K</u>
82	10 days		10 days		2 Mon 8/15/22		I I	I I	I I	I I	[	
83	4 days		4 days		2 Fri 8/19/22		1	Į.	Į.	I	П	<u>. C</u>
84	0 days		0 days		2 Fri 8/19/22		I I	I I	I I	I I	[	🐧
85		Task 9 - Final Project Deliverables			2 Thu 11/3/22		1	Į.	I.	I	П	0%
86		9.1 Prepare Draft Project Report			2 Fri 9/16/22		1	I I	I I	I I	H H	-
87	0 days		0 days		2 Fri 9/16/22		1	Į.	I.	I	П	<u> </u>
88 89	23 days				2 Wed 10/19/		1	I I	t I	I I	H H	
90	1 day	1 (			2 Thu 10/20/22 2 Thu 11/3/22		1	Į.	I.		ii	<b>&gt;</b>
91	10 days 0 days	, ,			2 Thu 11/3/22 2 Thu 11/3/22		1	I I	t I	I I		<b>&gt;</b>
92	0 days				2 Thu 11/3/22 2 Thu 11/3/22		1	ļ.	l.	I	П	
	o uays	minestone. Froject completion	o uays	1110 11/0/22	- 1110 11/0/2Z				<u> </u>		Ш	•