TRANSPORTATION POOLED FUND PROGRAM QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

Lead Agency (FHWA or State DOT):	<u>FHWA</u>		
INSTRUCTIONS: Project Managers and/or research project invegoranter during which the projects are active. For each task that is defined in the proposal; a per the current status, including accomplishments during this period.	Please provide rcentage comp	a project schedule state eletion of each task; a co	us of the research activities tied to oncise discussion (2 or 3 sentences) of
Transportation Pooled Fund Program Project #		Transportation Pooled Fund Program - Report Period:	
		□Quarter 1 (January 1 – March 31) 2012	
TPF-5(211)		□Quarter 2 (April 1 – June 30) 2012	
111 5(211)		√Quarter 3 (July 1 – September 30) 2012	
		□Quarter 4 (October 1 – December 31) 2012	
Project Title: Bridge Pier Scour Research			
Name of Project Manager(s): Kornel Kerenyi	Phone Number:		E-Mail
•	(202) 493-3142		kornel.kerenyi@fhwa.dot.gov
Lead Agency Project ID:	Other Project ID (i.e., contract #):		Project Start Date:
Original Project End Date:	Current Project End Date:		Number of Extensions:
Project schedule status:			
$\sqrt{}$ On schedule \square On revised schedule	☐ Ahead of schedule ☐ Behind schedule		
Overall Project Statistics:			
Total Project Budget	Total Cost to Date for Project		Percentage of Work Completed to Date
Quarterly Project Statistics:			
Total Project Expenses and Percentage This Quarter	Total Amount of Funds Expended This Quarter		Total Percentage of Time Used to Date

Project Description:

The present evaluation shows that, while the individual scour influences of the many bridge waterway variables are now well understood for simple or standard pier designs, and that recently developed scour estimation methods attempt to encompass these influences, there are several sources of substantial complexity that complicate the development of reliable comprehensive design relationship for estimating scour depth at piers:

- · Complexity of flow field
- The fundamental problem of simultaneously scaling three scales (flow depth, bed material size and, structure size)
- Variations in channel boundary materials
- Differences in pier structure
- The complicating interaction of pier scour and other boundary erosion processes, such as accumulation of woody debris, ice bridge over-topping, abutment proximity, channel morphology, bedforms
- The large number of parameters involved

The TFHRC Hydraulics Laboratory will collaborate on this proposed research and will provide Lab capabilities and technical assistance.

Progress this Quarter (includes meetings, work plan status, contract status, significant progress, etc.):

- Scaling of the model was studied to find the suitable size that offered proper simulation to the field condition and can be tested with available equipment/facility.
- Simplified CFD modeling to study potential flow condition and bed shear in order to provide proper instrumentation.
- Potential issues and mitigation in model production was investigated.

Anticipated work next quarter:

- Fabrication of pier models.
- Investigation of best instrumentation approach.

Significant Results:		
Circumstance affecting project or budget. (Please describe any challenges encountered or anticipated that might affect the completion of the project within the time, scope and fiscal constraints set forth in the agreement, along with recommended solutions to those problems).		
None to report.		
Potential Implementation:		
None from this period.		