TRANSPORTATION POOLED FUND PROGRAM QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

Lead Agency (FHWA or State DOT): ____ IOWA DOT

INSTRUCTIONS:

Project Managers and/or research project investigators should complete a quarterly progress report for each calendar quarter during which the projects are active. Please provide a project schedule status of the research activities tied to each task that is defined in the proposal; a percentage completion of each task; a concise discussion (2 or 3 sentences) of the current status, including accomplishments and problems encountered, if any. List all tasks, even if no work was done during this period.

Transportation Pooled Fund Program Project # <i>TPF-5(183)</i>		Transportation Pooled Fund Program - Report Period: Quarter 1 (January 1 – March 31, 2015) X Quarter 2 (April 1 – June 30, 2015) Quarter 3 (July 1 – September 30, 2015) Quarter 4 (October 1 – December 31, 2015)	
Project Title:			
Improving the Foundation Layers for Concr	ete Pavement		
Project Manager:	Phone:	E-ma	il:
Brian Worrel	239-1471	brian.worrel@dot.iowa.gov	
Project Investigator:	Phone:	E-ma	
David White	294-1463	djwhite@	iastate.edu
Lead Agency Project ID:	Other Project ID (i.e., contract #)		Project Start Date:
RT 0314	Addendum 352		3/16/09
Original Project End Date:	Current Project End Date:		Number of Extensions:
3/15/14	3/15/20 16		On-going pooled fund project
Project schedule status:			

Overall Project Statistics:

Total Project Budget	Total Cost to Date for Project	Total Percentage of Work Completed
\$875,000	\$685,163.74	96

Quarterly Project Statistics:

Total Project Expenses	Total Amount of Funds	Percentage of Work Completed
This Quarter	Expended This Quarter	This Quarter
\$30,896.07		2

Project Description:

The objective of this research is to improve the construction methods, economic analysis and selection of materials, in-situ testing and evaluation, and development of performance-related specifications for the pavement foundation layers. The outcome of this study will be conclusive findings that make pavement foundations more durable, uniform, constructible, and economical. Although the focus of this research will be PCC concrete pavement foundations, the results will likely have applicability to ACC pavement foundations and, potentially, unpaved roads. All aspects of the foundation layers will be investigated including thickness, material properties, permeability, modulus/stiffness, strength, volumetric stability and durability. Forensic and in-situ testing plans will be conceived to incorporate measurements using existing and emerging technologies (e.g. intelligent compaction) to evaluate performance related parameters as opposed to just index or indirectly related parameter values. Field investigations will be conducted in each participating state. The results of the study will be compatible with each state's pavement design methodology and capable for use with the Mechanistic-Empirical Pavement Design Guide (MEPDG). Evaluating pavement foundation design input parameters at each site will provide a link between what is actually constructed and what is assumed during design. There are many inputs to the pavement design related to foundation layers and this project will provide improved guidelines for each of these. The study will benefit greatly from maximizing the wide range of field conditions possible within the framework of a pooled fund study.

Progress this Quarter (includes meetings, work plan status, contract status, significant progress, etc.): The main research activity during this quarter involved updating the field project reports shown in the table below as part of the Sub Tasks 1.5, 1.7, 3.1, 3.2, 3.4. The process of internal review was provided in the last QPR. In brief, the research team authors finishes the first draft and a technical editor reviews and updates the report followed by revisions by the authors, and the report is submitted back to the technical editor for final review. Then the report is uploaded to an FTP site for TAC review.

Bonort	First Draft	Technical Editor Review	Updates by Authors	Technical Editor Final Review	Upload to FTP site for TAC
Report	by Authors	Editor Review	Authors	Kevlew	IAC
Non-Uniformity Analysis					
Report	Done	Done	Done	Done	
MEPDG Sensitivity					
Analysis Report	Done	Done	Done	Done	
Wisconsin US10 Report	Done	Done	Done	Done	
Michigan I96 Report	Done	Done	Done	In Progress	
Iowa I29 Report	Done	Done	Done	In Progress	
Pennsylvania US422					
Report	Done	Done	In progress		
Michigan I94 Report	Done	Done	In progress		

<u>Manual of Practice</u>: The research management team continues meeting internally to develop the publication details for the Manual.

The research team at ISU met several times during the last quarter to compile the list of deliverables for the project, develop a schedule for TAC review, and schedule next TAC meetings. The team discussed about potentially developing e-deliverable training materials, for all key components of the Manual, in collaboration with the Transportation Curriculum Coordination Council (TCCC). The research team discussed this opportunity with the TCCC members at AASHTO. A web meeting with TAC is being planned for early next quarter to discuss this in detail.

Anticipated work next quarter:

- Complete all field project reports and send for TAC to review.
- Continue working on the "Manual of Practice" target to finish 50% draft by end of next quarter.
- TAC meeting.

TPF Program Standard Quarterly Reporting Format – 12/2012

Significant Results:

Circumstance affecting project or budget (Describe any challenges encountered or anticipated that might affect the completion of the project within the time, scope, and fiscal constraints set forth in the agreement, along with recommended solutions to those problems).

1710 001	Ao committee.			
First	Last	Organization	Email	
Pooled I	und Members			
Mehdi	Parvini*	California DOT	mehdi_parvini@dot.ca.gov	
Brian	Worrel	Iowa DOT	brian.worrel@dot.iowa.gov	
Todd	Hanson	Iowa DOT	todd.hanson@dot.iowa.gov	
Steve	Megivern*	Iowa DOT	stephen.megivern@dot.iowa.gov	
Kevin	Merryman	Iowa DOT	kevin.merryman@dot.iowa.gov	
Mark	Grazioli*	Michigan DOT	graziolim@michigan.gov	
John	Staton	Michigan DOT	statonj@michigan.gov	
Josh	Freeman	Pennsylvania DOT	josfreeman@state.pa.us	
Lydia	Peddicord*	Pennsylvania DOT	lpeddicord@state.pa.us	
Jeff	Horsfall*	Wisconsin DOT	jeffrey.horsfall@dot.state.wi.us	
Lisa	Rold	FHWA-Iowa	lisa.mcdaniel@dot.gov	
Jim	Sherwood	FHWA	jim.sherwood@dot.gov	
Gina	Ahlstrom	FHWA	Gina.Ahlstrom@dot.gov	
*Primar	y state contact			
Researc	h Team			
Tom	Cackler	Woodland Consulting	tcackler.wci@prairieinet.net	
Barry	Christopher	Geotech Engr Consultant barryc325@aol.com		
Andrew	Dawson	Univ of Nottingham	Andrew.Dawson@nottingham.ac.uk	
Jeff	Roesler	Univ of Illinois U-C	jroesler@uiuc.edu	
Pavana	Vennapusa	CEER/ISU	pavanv@iastate.edu	
David	White	CEER/ISU	djwhite@iastate.edu	

TAC committee: