TRANSPORTATION POOLED FUND PROGRAM QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

Lead Agency (FHWA or State DOT):	IOWA D	OT	
	a project sched n task; a concis	lule status of the researd e discussion (2 or 3 sen	,
Transportation Pooled Fund Program Project # TPF-5(233)		Transportation Pooled Fund Program - Report Period: x Quarter 1 (January 1 – March 31, 2016) Quarter 2 (April 1 – June 30, 2016) Quarter 3 (July 1 – September 30, 2016) Quarter 4 (October 1 – December 31, 2016)	
Project Title:			
Technology Transfer Intelligent Compaction	•	•	
Project Manager:	Phone: E-mail:		
Brian Worrel	239-1471	brian.	worrel@iowa.dot.gov
Project Investigator:	Phone:	E-ma	ill:
David White	294-1463 djwhite@iastate.edu		
Pavana Vennapusa	294-2395	pavanv@	Diastate.edu
Lead Agency Project ID:	Other Project	ct ID (i.e., contract #):	Project Start Date:
RT 0347	Addendum 385		8/6/10
Original Project End Date:	Current Project End Date:		Number of Extensions:
3/15/14	06/30/2016		Ongoing pooled fund; interim budgets
Project schedule status: X On schedule □ On revised schedule	☐ Ahead o	f schedule	Behind schedule
Overall Project Statistics:			
Total Project Budget	Total Cost to Date for Project		Total Percentage of Work Completed
\$349,000	\$332,007.96		ongoing
Quarterly Project Statistics:			
Total Project Expenses	Total Amount of Funds		Percentage of Work Completed
This Quarter	Expende	d This Quarter	This Quarter
\$10,107.93			

Project Description:

Increasingly, state departments of transportation (DOTs) are challenged to design and build longer life pavements that result in a higher level of user satisfaction for the public. One of the strategies for achieving longer life pavements is to use innovative technologies and practices. In order to foster new technologies and practices, experts from state DOTs, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), academia and industry must collaborate to identify and examine new and emerging technologies and systems. The purpose of this pooled fund project is to identify, support, facilitate and fund intelligent compaction research and technology transfer initiatives.

Progress this Quarter (includes meetings, work plan status, contract status, significant progress, etc.):

- A draft version of the 2015 workshop report for submitted to TAC for review on February 17, 2016.
- A web meeting was held with the TTICC participating state representatives on February 18, 2016. The meeting was attended by 18 participants. The minutes are attached.
- The web meeting included updates on different participating state DOT activities on IC projects, brief review of the 2015 workshop report, review IC 101 video statistics, updates on case histories, and project schedule and funding aspects.
- After receiving feedback from the TAC, a final version of the 2015 workshop report was posted on the CEER-TTICC website early March. http://ceer.iastate.edu/news/#12.
- The TAC was presented with three options for continuation/future of the TTICC project in the web meeting:
 - Option 1: Wrap up current TPF June 2016 and not continue.
 - Option 2: Reinstate TTICC scope under new TPF number (because longer than 5 yrs)
 - Option 3: New TPF with broader scope to focus on implementation of pavement foundation mechanistic design/assessment with IC as important element for QC/QA. Perhaps with focus on specification development and training workshops.
- Most participating states have opted for either options 2 or 3 or a combination of both. One state DOT opted for option 1. Next conference call is being scheduled for early to mid June to discuss steps forward. CEER will redraft a new problem statement for options 2 and 3 for review by the TAC members during the June meeting.

Anticipated work next quarter:

- Finish tech briefs.
- Updates to TTICC web page.
- Develop new problem statements.

Significant Results:

- TTICC 2015 meeting workshop report.
- IC workflow process (included in the workshop report).
- Updates to webpage.

Circumstance affecting project or budget (Describe any challenges encountered or anticipated that might affect the completion of the project within the time, scope, and fiscal constraints set forth in the agreement, along with recommended solutions to those problems).

TAC committee (updated 7/1/15):

Representing	Name	Organization	Email
Iowa State Univ	David White	ISU	djwhite@iastate.edu
	Pavana Vennapusa	ISU	pavanv@iastate.edu
California	Peter Vacura	Caltrans	peter.vacura@dot.ca.gov
	Haniel Chung	Caltrans	haniel.chung@dot.ca.gov
	James Lee	Caltrans	james_n_lee@dot.ca.gov
Georgia-2	lan Rish	Georgia DOT	irish@dot.ga.gov
	Alfred Casteel	Georgia DOT	acasteel@dot.ga.gov
lowa-2	Stephen Megivern	lowa DOT	stephen.megivern@dot.iowa.gov
	Melissa Serio	lowa DOT	melissa.serio@dot.iowa.gov
	Peggi Knight	lowa DOT	peggi.knight@dot.iowa.gov
	Scott Schram	lowa DOT	scott.schram@dot.iowa.gov
	Jeffrey Schmitt	lowa DOT	jeffrey.schmitt@dot.iowa.gov
Kentucky	Adam Ross	KyDOT	adam.ross@ky.gov
	David Hunsucker	Univ Ky	David.Hunsucker@uky.edu
	Clark Graves	Univ Ky	clark.graves@uky.edu
	Brad Rister	Univ Ky	brad.rister@uky.edu
	Kean Ashurst	Univ Ky	kean.ashurst@uky.edu
Missouri-2	William Stone	MoDOT	william.stone@modot.mo.gov
	Kevin McLain	MoDOT	kevin.mclain@modot.mo.gov
Ohio	Craig Landefeld	OhDOT	Craig.Landefeld@dot.state.oh.us
	Stephen Slomski	OhDOT	stephen.slomski@dot.state.oh.us
Pennsylvania	Daniel Clark	PennDOT	danielclar@pa.gov
	Lisa Tarson	PennDOT	Itarson@pa.gov
Virginia	Edward Hoppe	VaDOT	edward.hoppe@vdot.virginia.gov
	Jed Peters	WisDOT	jed.peters@dot.wi.gov
	Girum Merine	WisDOT	Girum.Merine@dot.wi.gov
FHWA	Fred Faridazar	FHWA	fred.faridazar@fhwa.dot.gov
	Max Grogg	FHWA-lowa	max.grogg@dot.gov