# TRANSPORTATION POOLED FUND PROGRAM QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

Project Managers and/or research project investigators should complete a quarterly progress report for each calendar quarter during which the projects are active. Please provide a project schedule status of the research activities tied to acach task that is defined in the proposal, a percentage completion of each task; a concise discussion (2 or 3 sentences) of the current status, including accomplishments and problems encountered, if any. List all tasks, even if no work was done during this period.    Transportation Pooled Fund Program Project # (i.e, SPR-2(XXX), SPR-3(XXX) or TPF-5(XXX)	Date: <u>07/31/2016</u>			
Project Managers and/or research project investigators should complete a quarterly progress report for each calendar quarter during which the projects are active. Please provide a project schedule status of the research activities tied to ach task that is defined in the proposal, a percentage completion of each task, a concise discussion (2 or 3 sentences) of the current status, including accomplishments and problems encountered, if any. List all tasks, even if no work was done during this period.  Transportation Pooled Fund Program Project # (i.e, SPR-2(XXX), SPR-3(XXX) or TPF-5(XXX)  TPF-5(282)  Transportation Pooled Fund Program - Report Period:  Quarter 1 (January 1 - March 31)  Quarter 2 (April 1 - June 30)  Quarter 3 (July 1 - September 30)  Quarter 4 (October 1 - December 31)  Project Title:  Demonstration of Network Level Pavement Structural Evaluation with Traffic Speed Deflectometer  Name of Project Manager(s):  Nadarajah Sivaneswaran  Project ID:  Other Project ID (i.e., contract #):  DTFH61-11-D-00009-T13008  Original Project End Date:  Or/19/2016  Current Project End Date:  Or/19/2016  On schedule On revised schedule Ahead of schedule Behind schedule  Overall Project Statistics:  Total Project Statistics:  Total Project Statistics:  Total Project Expenses  Total Amount of Funds  Total Prodect Statistics:  Total Project Expenses  Total Amount of Funds  Total Prodect Spenses	Lead Agency (FHWA or State DOT):	<u>FHWA</u>		
Quarter 1 (January 1 - March 31)   Quarter 2 (April 1 - June 30)   Quarter 3 (July 1 - September 30)   Quarter 4 (October 1 - December 31)	quarter during which the projects are active. It each task that is defined in the proposal; a pe	Please provide rcentage comp	a project schedule stat pletion of each task; a co	us of the research activities tied to oncise discussion (2 or 3 sentences) of
TPF-5(282)  □Quarter 1 (January 1 - March 31) □Quarter 2 (April 1 - June 30) □Quarter 3 (July 1 - September 30) □Quarter 4 (October 1 - December 31)  Project Title: Demonstration of Network Level Pavement Structural Evaluation with Traffic Speed Deflectometer  Name of Project Manager(s): Nadarajah Sivaneswaran  Phone Number: 202-493-3147  Cother Project ID (i.e., contract #): DTFH61-11-D-00009-T13008  Original Project End Date: 01/19/2016  Outher Project End Date: 01/19/2017  Number of Extensions: 1  On schedule □ On revised schedule □ Ahead of schedule □ Behind schedule  Overall Project Statistics:  Total Project Budget  Total Cost to Date for Project Statistics:  Total Project Expenses  Total Amount of Funds  Total Procentage of Total Procentage of Total Project Expenses	Transportation Pooled Fund Program Project # (i.e, SPR-2(XXX), SPR-3(XXX) or TPF-5(XXX)		Transportation Pooled Fund Program - Report Period:	
Quarter 3 (July 1 – September 30)   Quarter 4 (October 1 – December 31)   Project Title:   Demonstration of Network Level Pavement Structural Evaluation with Traffic Speed Deflectometer   Name of Project Manager(s):   Phone Number:   202-493-3147     n.sivaneswaran@dot.gov			□Quarter 1 (January 1 – March 31)	
Project Title: Demonstration of Network Level Pavement Structural Evaluation with Traffic Speed Deflectometer  Name of Project Manager(s): Nadarajah Sivaneswaran Project ID: DTFH61-11-D-00009-T13008  Original Project End Date: 07/19/2016  On schedule On revised schedule Ahead of schedule  Overall Project Statistics: Total Project Budget Total Cost to Date for Project Statistics: Total Project Expenses Total Amount of Funds Total Procentage of Total Procentage of Total Procentage of Total Project Statistics: Total Project Statistics: Total Project Expenses Total Amount of Funds Total Procentage of Total Procentage of Total Procentage of Total Project Expenses Total Project Expenses Total Project Expenses	TPF-5(282)		☑Quarter 2 (April 1 – June 30)	
Project Title: Demonstration of Network Level Pavement Structural Evaluation with Traffic Speed Deflectometer  Name of Project Manager(s): Nadarajah Sivaneswaran  Demonstration of Network Level Pavement Structural Evaluation with Traffic Speed Deflectometer  Phone Number: 202-493-3147  Demonstration Sivaneswaran deadt gov  Lead Agency Project ID: DTFH61-11-D-00009-T13008  Original Project End Date: DTFH61-11-D-00009-T13008  Original Project End Date: D1/19/2017  On schedule status: D1/19/2017  Project schedule status: D1/19/2017  Demonstration of Network Level Pavement Structural Evaluation with Traffic Speed Deflectometer  Name of Project Start Date: D1/19/2013  Number of Extensions: 1  Demonstration of Network Level Pavement Structural Evaluation with Traffic Speed Deflectometer  D2/20/2013  Number of Extensions: 1  Demonstration of Network Level Pavement Structural Evaluation with Traffic Speed Deflectometer  D3/20/2013  Number of Extensions: 1  Demonstration of Extensions: 1  Total Project Statistics: Total Project Expenses  Total Amount of Funds  Total Percentage of Work Total Percentage of Total Project Expenses  Total Project Expenses  Total Project Expenses			☐Quarter 3 (July 1 – 3	September 30)
Demonstration of Network Level Pavement Structural Evaluation with Traffic Speed Deflectometer         Name of Project Manager(s):       Phone Number:       E-Mail         Nadarajah Sivaneswaran       202-493-3147       n.sivaneswaran@dot.gov         Lead Agency Project ID:       Other Project ID (i.e., contract #):       Project Start Date:         DTFH61-11-D-00009-T13008       Current Project End Date:       Number of Extensions:         07/19/2016       01/19/2017       1         Project schedule status:       □       On schedule       □       Ahead of schedule       □       Behind schedule         Overall Project Statistics:       □       Total Cost to Date for Project       Percentage of Work Completed to Date         \$822,446       \$711,742 (as of 3/31/2016)       87%         Quarterly Project Statistics:       Total Project Expenses       Total Amount of Funds       Total Percentage of			□Quarter 4 (October 1 – December 31)	
Lead Agency Project ID:  DTFH61-11-D-00009-T13008  Original Project End Date: 07/19/2016  Current Project End Date: 01/19/2017  Number of Extensions: 1  Project schedule status:  □ On schedule □ On revised schedule □ Ahead of schedule □ Behind schedule  Overall Project Statistics:  Total Project Budget □ Total Cost to Date for Project □ Percentage of Work Completed to Date  \$822,446 \$711,742 (as of 3/31/2016) 87%  Quarterly Project Statistics:  Total Project Expenses □ Total Amount of Funds □ Total Percentage of □	Name of Project Manager(s):	Phone Num	ber:	E-Mail
Original Project End Date: 07/19/2016  Current Project End Date: 01/19/2017  Number of Extensions: 1  Project schedule status:  ✓ On schedule □ On revised schedule □ Ahead of schedule □ Behind schedule  Overall Project Statistics:  ✓ Total Project Budget □ Total Cost to Date for Project □ Percentage of Work Completed to Date  \$822,446	·			-
O7/19/2016	Lead Agency Project ID: DTFH61-11-D-00009-T13008	Other Projec	ct ID (i.e., contract #):	
Overall Project Statistics:  Total Project Budget  \$822,446  Total Project Statistics:  Total Project Expenses  Total Amount of Funds  Total Percentage of  Total Percentage of  Total Percentage of	Original Project End Date: 07/19/2016			
Overall Project Statistics:  Total Project Budget  Total Cost to Date for Project Percentage of Work Completed to Date  \$822,446  \$711,742 (as of 3/31/2016)  Row Project Statistics: Total Project Expenses  Total Amount of Funds  Total Percentage of	Project schedule status:			
Total Project Budget  Total Cost to Date for Project  Percentage of Work Completed to Date  \$822,446  \$711,742 (as of 3/31/2016)  Royarterly Project Statistics:  Total Project Expenses  Total Amount of Funds  Total Percentage of	☑ On schedule ☐ On revised schedule		Ahead of schedule	☐ Behind schedule
\$822,446 \$711,742 (as of 3/31/2016) 87%  Quarterly Project Statistics:  Total Project Expenses Total Amount of Funds Total Percentage of	Overall Project Statistics:			
Quarterly Project Statistics:  Total Project Expenses  Total Amount of Funds  Total Percentage of	Total Project Budget	Total Cost to Date for Project		
Total Project Expenses Total Amount of Funds Total Percentage of	\$822,446	\$711,742 (as of 3/31/2016)		87%
	Quarterly Project Statistics:			

## **Project Description:**

#### Background

Current State Highway Agencies' (SHA) Pavement Management Systems are primarily based on surface condition data, and surface cracking is mainly used as an indicator of the pavement structural condition. However, with effective pavement preservation activities that intervene early to preserve and extend the life of pavements and increasingly thicker long-life payements, the surface cracks can no longer be relied on as a reliable indicator of structural condition or "health" of the pavement structure. This is because most preservation treatments correct surface cracks but do not correct bottom-up fatigue cracking, instead concealing them, while the bottom-initiated cracks continue to develop. In addition, the prevalence of top-down cracking in thicker pavements also makes it difficult to distinguish them from bottom-up fatique cracking, which is the common indicator of structural deterioration. The true pavement structural condition and rate of deterioration are needed not only to plan optimal structural rehabilitation activities and future budget needs but also for assessing meaningful progress under a performance based Federal-Aid program. With an aging pavement network on our most trafficked highways, the fear is not when the next preservation treatment is needed but when that will no longer be effective, resulting in the need for major rehabilitation / reconstruction. The SHAs state-ofthe-practice pavement condition data collection is inadequate to meet this increasingly critical need and some SHAs have investigated the network level use of the Falling Weight Deflectometer (FWD). While FWDs are the preferred device for project level structural evaluation, they are inefficient at the network level. FWD measurements are made at discrete points along the pavement sections and the equipment should remain stationary on the road during each testing point (typically 1-4 minutes, depending on the protocol). Since the equipment has to be stationary during measurements, this requires traffic control and lane closures that disrupt traffic. This limits the productivity and the number of discrete points where measurements can be obtained.

High speed continuous deflection devices were developed as a practical alternative to the FWD for network level pavement structural evaluation. A number of recent studies have investigated the state-of-the-technology and use of high speed continuous deflection devices (1, 2, 3). A more recent effort under SHRP2-R06(F) project titled "Assessment of Continuous Pavement Deflection Measuring Technologies" reviewed all such devices under Phase I of two phase effort and concluded that, for network level applications, there are two potential devices currently on the market – the Traffic Speed Deflectometer (TSD) and the Rolling Wheel Deflectometer (RWD) (1). TSD is manufactured in Denmark and to date is being used in Denmark, UK, Poland and Italy. In addition, several thousand miles of major roads in Australia were tested in 2010 and South Africa has recently purchased a device. The manufacturers of TSD have reported of their plans to make available their latest version of TSD for demonstration testing in the US at their own transportation expense in 2013. Federal Highway Administration's has initiated a new research project to assess, field evaluate and validate on instrumented and other test pavement sections the capability of RWD and TSD for pavement structural evaluation at the network level for use in pavement management application and decision making (4). Following the field evaluation, validation and identification of technically capable device(s), the research project will also develop analysis methodologies for enabling the use of information obtained from those technically capable device(s) in pavement management

# **Objectives**

The objective of the proposed pooled-fund project is to assess the feasibility of and demonstrate the use of Traffic Speed Deflectometer (TSD) for network level pavement structural evaluation for use in the participating state agencies' pavement management application and decision making. FHWA research project under Contract Number DTFH61-12-C-00031 will compliment this proposed pooled fund study.

## Scope of Work

This project will include the following tasks:

- 1. Coordination and collection of TSD data on agency designated pavement sections for one day of testing at traffic speed, including calibration. Testing length of 30 50 miles.
- 2. Post-processing of all collected data. The agency will be provided with all collected raw and process data in an Excel workbook. Please see comments section for the type of data collected with TSD.
- 3. Exploratory analysis in the use of pavement structural condition information derived from TSD for use in the participating SHA's pavement management system and applications.

Progress this Quarter (includes meetings, work plan status, contract status, significant progress, etc.):
Individual web meetings were held with each participating SHAs to have focused discussion of specific findings, to describe TSD data files and format and demonstrate TSD software, use receive feedback from SHAs on the analysis findings. The meetings were informative and facilitated a better understand of the TSD data/analysis to the SHA staff and SHA PMS process to the research team. This information will be used to individualize the report for each SHA.

Anticipated work next quarter:
<ul> <li>The following work will be carried out over the next quarterly period:</li> <li>Finalize draft report documenting the data collection, analysis and recommendation for the incorporation of TSD data in SHAs PMS process for each participating SHA, provide to SHA for review and comment.</li> </ul>
Significant Results:
Circumstance affecting project or budget. (Please describe any challenges encountered or anticipated that might affect the completion of the project within the time, scope and fiscal constraints set forth in the agreement, along with recommended solutions to those problems).
Potential Implementation:
The objective of the pooled-fund project is to assess the feasibility of and demonstrate the use of Traffic Speed Deflectometer (TSD) for network level pavement structural evaluation for use in the participating agencies pavement management application and decision making. The use of pavement structural condition in addition to functional and pavement surface distress information will provide for planning optimal structural rehabilitation activities and future budget needs as well as for assessing progress under a performance based Federal-Aid program.