# TRANSPORTATION POOLED FUND PROGRAM QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

Lead Agency (FHWA or State DOT):IOWA DOT						
INSTRUCTIONS:  Project Managers and/or research project investigation of the project are active. Pleach task that is defined in the proposal; a perotect the current status, including accomplishments aduring this period.	lease provide a centage compl	a project schedule statu etion of each task; a col	s of the research activities tied to ncise discussion (2 or 3 sentences) of			
Transportation Pooled Fund Program Project # TPF-5(183)		Transportation Pooled Fund Program - Report Period: X Quarter 1 (January 1 – March 31, 2018) Quarter 2 (April 1 – June 30, 2018) Quarter 3 (July 1 – September 30, 2018) Quarter 4 (October 1 – December 31, 2018)				
Project Title:	. 5					
Improving the Foundation Layers for Concre <b>Project Manager:</b>	Phone:	E mai				
Brian Worrel	239-1471	=				
Project Investigator: Peter Taylor (David White)	Phone: E-mail: 294-3781 ptaylor@iastate.edu					
Lead Agency Project ID: RT 0314	Other Project ID (i.e., contract #): Addendum 352		Project Start Date: 3/16/09			
Original Project End Date: 3/15/14	Current Project End Date: 12/31/2018		Number of Extensions: On-going pooled fund project			
Project schedule status:  ☐ On schedule ☐ On revised schedule ☐ Ahead of schedule X Behind schedule						
Overall Project Statistics:						
Total Project Budget	Total Cos	t to Date for Project	Total Percentage of Work Completed			
\$875,000	;	\$869,031	98			
Quarterly Project Statistics:						
Total Project Expenses	Total Am	ount of Funds	Percentage of Work Completed			

**Expended This Quarter** 

This Quarter

TPF Program	Standard	Quarterly	/ Reporting	g Format — :	12/2012

This Quarter \$1,206

#### **Project Description:**

The objective of this research is to improve the construction methods, economic analysis and selection of materials, in-situ testing and evaluation, and development of performance-related specifications for the pavement foundation layers. The outcome of this study will be conclusive findings that make pavement foundations more durable, uniform, constructible, and economical. Although the focus of this research will be PCC concrete pavement foundations, the results will likely have applicability to ACC pavement foundations and, potentially, unpaved roads. All aspects of the foundation layers will be investigated including thickness, material properties, permeability, modulus/stiffness, strength, volumetric stability and durability. Forensic and in-situ testing plans will be conceived to incorporate measurements using existing and emerging technologies (e.g. intelligent compaction) to evaluate performance related parameters as opposed to just index or indirectly related parameter values. Field investigations will be conducted in each participating state. The results of the study will be compatible with each state's payement design methodology and capable for use with the Mechanistic-Empirical Payement Design Guide (MEPDG). Evaluating pavement foundation design input parameters at each site will provide a link between what is actually constructed and what is assumed during design. There are many inputs to the pavement design related to foundation layers and this project will provide improved guidelines for each of these. The study will benefit greatly from maximizing the wide range of field conditions possible within the framework of a pooled fund study.

#### Progress this Quarter (includes meetings, work plan status, contract status, significant progress, etc.):

- This quarter's main progress is development of the report "Variability of In Situ Field Mechanistic Properties of Pavement Foundation Layers". The report is a compilation of the data and analysis with link to field project reports that are already submitted and reviewed by the TAC. We plan to complete multiple chapters of the manual for review in the next quarter.
- Pictures for the cover of the manual of practice were submitted to the pubs group

### Anticipated work next quarter:

• Several chapters for manual of practice.

## **Significant Results:**

Circumstance affecting project or budget (Describe any challenges encountered or anticipated that might affect the completion of the project within the time, scope, and fiscal constraints set forth in the agreement, along with recommended solutions to those problems).

#### TAC committee:

First	Last	Organization	Email	
Pooled I	Fund Members			
Mehdi	Parvini*	California DOT	mehdi_parvini@dot.ca.gov	
Brian	Worrel	Iowa DOT	brian.worrel@dot.iowa.gov	
Todd	Hanson	Iowa DOT	todd.hanson@dot.iowa.gov	
Steve	Megivern*	Iowa DOT	stephen.megivern@dot.iowa.gov	
Kevin	Merryman	Iowa DOT	kevin.merryman@dot.iowa.gov	
Mark	Grazioli*	Michigan DOT	graziolim@michigan.gov	
John	Staton	Michigan DOT	statonj@michigan.gov	
Josh	Freeman	Pennsylvania DOT	josfreeman@state.pa.us	
Lydia	Peddicord*	Pennsylvania DOT	lpeddicord@state.pa.us	
Jeff	Horsfall*	Wisconsin DOT	jeffrey.horsfall@dot.state.wi.us	
Lisa	Rold	FHWA-Iowa	lisa.mcdaniel@dot.gov	
Jim	Sherwood	FHWA	jim.sherwood@dot.gov	
Gina	Ahlstrom	FHWA	Gina.Ahlstrom@dot.gov	
*Primar	y state contact			
Research	h Team			
Tom	Cackler	Woodland Consulting	tcackler.wci@prairieinet.net	
Barry	Christopher	Geotech Engr Consultant barryc325@aol.com		
Andrew	Dawson	Univ of Nottingham	Andrew.Dawson@nottingham.ac.uk	
Jeff	Roesler	Univ of Illinois U-C	jroesler@uiuc.edu	
Pavana	Vennapusa	CEER/ISU	pavanv@iastate.edu	
David	White	CEER/ISU	djwhite@iastate.edu	

