TRANSPORTATION POOLED FUND PROGRAM QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

Lead Agency (FHWA or State DOT): ____ IOWA DOT

INSTRUCTIONS:

Project Managers and/or research project investigators should complete a quarterly progress report for each calendar quarter during which the projects are active. Please provide a project schedule status of the research activities tied to each task that is defined in the proposal; a percentage completion of each task; a concise discussion (2 or 3 sentences) of the current status, including accomplishments and problems encountered, if any. List all tasks, even if no work was done during this period.

Transportation Pooled Fund Program Project # <i>TPF-5(367)</i>		Transportation Pooled Fund Program - Report Period: Quarter 1 (January 1 – March 31, 2020) Quarter 2 (April 1 – June 30, 2020) Quarter 3 (July 1 – September 30, 2020) X Quarter 4 (October 4 – December 31, 2020)		
Project Title:				
Dynamic Evaluation and Design of Pl		e Bridge Kalls	11.	
Abmod Aby Howash	220 1202	E-IIIa	II. abu bawash@dat jawa gay	
Brian Worrel	239-1393	brian.wo	brian.worrel@dot.iowa.gov	
Project Investigator:	Phone:	E-ma	il:	
Sri Sritharan	294-5238	sri@ia	astate.edu	
Lead Agency Project ID:	Other Proje Addendum 6	ct ID (i.e., contract #): 17	Project Start Date: 6/15/17	
Original Project End Date: 9/30/18	Project End 5/31/21	Date:	Number of Extensions: Pooled fund project – yearly budgets	
X On schedule	schedule 🗌 .	Ahead of schedule	Behind schedule	

Overall Project Statistics:

Total Project Budget	Total Cost to Date for Project	Total Percentage of Work Completed
\$75,000	\$59,850	82%

Quarterly Project Statistics:

Total Project Expenses	Total Amount of Funds	Percentage of Work Completed
This Quarter	Expended This Quarter	This Quarter
\$0		0%

Project Description: Iowa State University researchers have developed precast concrete barriers that can be rapidly implemented. This initial research was funded by the Accelerated Bridge Construction-University Transportation Center (ABC-UTC) housed at Florida International University, who leads the ABC-UTC university consortium. The research project considered two different barriers to deck connection details that were designed and tested under quasi static loads to understand the load distribution and evaluate the connection performance. The first connection utilizing inclined reinforcing bars promotes durability and reparability but its initial cost is higher than the second alternative. The second connection that utilizes U-shaped reinforcing bars for connecting the precast barriers to the bridge deck is durable and cost effective, but replacement cost will be higher than the first alternative.

The scope of work outlined below in task form builds upon the results of the ABC-UTC research project noted above (to be noted for this proposed Pool Fund Plan as Phase I). It is noteworthy that there have been prior presentations/discussions with the AASHTO Subcommittee on Bridges and Structures (SCOBS T-04) and with the Transportation Research Board Subcommittee on ABC (the parent committee is AFF00) regarding the proposed work, and both groups support the need for the work and have endorsed the general scope of work outlined below.

- Task 1:
 Review of ABC-UTC Project (Phase I) and Finalize Details for Two Precast Barrier

 Concepts for Dynamic Evaluation and Development of Design Methodology
- Task 2: Conduct Numerical Modeling and LS-DYNA Simulation using Phase-I data
- Task 3: Perform Impact Load Investigation on Two Prototype Designs
- Task 4: Refine of Designs based on outcomes of from Task 3
- Task 5a: Perform Full-Scale Crash Tests on a Concrete Barrier-Deck Subassembly for Loads Corresponding to TL-4 and TL-5
- Task 6: Calibrate Numerical Models
- Task 7: Complete Parametric Study and Design Optimization
- Task 8: Development Design, Construction and Implementation Guidelines
- Task 9: Conduct Life-Cycle Performance and Cost Analysis

Progress this Quarter (includes meetings, work plan status, contract status, significant progress, etc.):

The testing team completed and presented a revised set of analyses of the two barrier types to the Project Advisor Panel and received feedback. Subsequently, a subset of analyses were repeated with modifications. These modifications included 75 ksi reinforcing bars as the inclined connecting reinforcement, allowing the distance between the connecting reinforcement to be maintained at 30 in. Based on the completed analyses, the testing team will focus on doing the crash test on a single slope barrier with the possibility of justifying the use of near vertical barrier in practice as well though further analyses.

Anticipated work next quarter:

Present detailed test plan to the Project Advisory Panel and receive feedback.

Significant Results:

Completed the required pretest analyses that concluded that the crash test to be conducted on a single slope barrier.