TRANSPORTATION POOLED FUND PROGRAM QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

Lead Agency (FHWA or State DOT): _ Transportation	Minne	esota Department of	_	
INSTRUCTIONS: Project Managers and/or research project inve- quarter during which the projects are active. It each task that is defined in the proposal; a per the current status, including accomplishments during this period.	Please provide rcentage comp	e a project schedule state pletion of each task; a co	tus of the research activities tied to oncise discussion (2 or 3 sentences) of	
Transportation Pooled Fund Program Project #		Transportation Poole	ed Fund Program - Report Period:	
TPF (148): The Effects of Implements of Husbandry "Farm Equipment" on Pavement Performance (MnROAD Study)"		□ X Quarter 1 (January 1 – March 31)		
		□Quarter 2 (April 1 – June 30)		
		□Quarter 3 (July 1 – September 30)		
		☐Quarter 4 (October	4 – December 31)	
Project Title: The Effects of Implements of Hu	usbandry "Farr	 m Equipment" on Paven	nent Performance (MnROAD Study)"	
Project Manager: Shongtao Dai. Ph.D, PE			:Shongtao.dai@state.mn.us	
Project Investigator: Lev Khazanovich Phone: 612-624-4764 E-mail:khaza001@umn.edu				
Lead Agency Project ID:	Other Project	ct ID (i.e., contract #):	Project Start Date:	
Original Project End Date:Jan.2011	Current Proj Sept. 2011	ject End Date:	Number of Extensions: 1	
Project schedule status:				
☐ On schedule ☐ On revised schedule	ule 🗆	Ahead of schedule	X□ Behind schedule	
Overall Project Statistics:				
Total Project Budget		t to Date for Project	Total Percentage of Work Completed	
\$430,000	\$388,000		90%	
Quarterly Project Statistics:				

Total Project Expenses	Total Amount of Funds	Percentage of Work Completed
This Quarter	Expended This Quarter	This Quarter
\$15,000		
		3.5%

Project Description:

Over the past few decades, there have been significant changes in both farm size and farm equipment. These factors, combined with a regulatory emphasis that has encouraged farmers to store manuare as a liquid and apply it in a short time frame, have encouraged the farm equipment industry to produce larger manure hauling and application equipment. The shift to larger and heavier equipment has occured at a faster rate than pavement design, materials technology, or state regulatory structures could match. Today, equipment innovations such as steerable axles, flotation tires, and new tire designs are not reflected in state DOT regulations. This situation has led to the adoption of equipment and practices that, while complying with teh letter of the law, may actually create more pavement damage. The objectives of this study are to determine pavement response under various types of agricultural equipment (including the impacts of different tires and additional axles) and to compare this response to that produced by a typical 5-axle tractor-trailer. New test sections will be constructed at MnROAD for this research for testing overweight vehicles from farming and a number of other industries. The pavement response collected under this study will be used to calibrate the analytical models for prediction of relative damage caused by heavy farm equipment.

Progress this Quarter (includes meetings, work plan status, contract status, significant progress, etc.):

Task 1. Design Experimental Pavement Sections
This task has been completed.

Task 2. Database Development

This task has been completed.

Task 3. Predict Pavement Responses

This task has been completed.

Task 4. Construction of the Test Sections
This task has been completed.

Task 5. Pavement Response Monitoring

The task report has been finalized and submitted in January of 2011. It included a comprehensive summary of the field tests performed in 2008-2010 and a description of the data collected in the field tests.

Task 6. Conduct Comprehensive Data Analysis

A comprehensive data analysis has been conducted. The analytical investigation included finite element modeling using ISLAB2000 for rigid pavements and MnLAYER for flexible pavements. Additional tire footprint measurements have been conducted in the last quarter, but due to scheduling problems and equipment malfunction the work could not be completed as planned. The outmost efforts will be made to complete the task in April-May of 2011.

Task 7. Damage Analysis Model

A computer program TONN2010 was modified to account for a non-standard tire footprint. The MEPDG concrete cracking model was adopted for analysis of the effect of heavy agricultural equipment.

TPF Program Standard Quarterly Reporting Format – 3/2011

Task 8. Prepare Draft Final Report
A significant portion of the efforts has been documented.
Anticipated work next quarter:
The research team will finalize task 6, 7, and 8 reports.
Significant Results:
Circumstance affecting project or budget (Describe any challenges encountered or anticipated that might affect the completion of the project within the time, scope, and fiscal constraints set forth in the agreement, along with recommended solutions to those problems).